



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,092	01/27/2006	Dimitri Leroy	284224US0PCT	9556

22850 7590 11/17/2009  
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER
----------

SERGEANT, RABON A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1796

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

11/17/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10566092	1/27/2006	LEROY ET AL.	284224US0PCT

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

**EXAMINER**

Rabon Sergeant

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

1796

20091109

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

The reply filed on June 30, 2009 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicants' response fails to address several issues set forth within the Office action of March 31, 2009. Firstly, applicants' response does not address the "use temperature" of claim 11, as rejected under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs within paragraphs 1 and 3 of the Office action. Secondly, applicants' response does not address to what extent the claimed loss factor is dependent on temperature, as set forth within paragraph 1 of the Office action. Thirdly, the use of "type" within claims 14 and 20, as set forth within paragraph 3 of the Office action, has not been fully addressed. Fourthly, applicants' response does not address the upper end of the NCO value range of claim 8 exceeding the range set forth within claim 7, as set forth within paragraph 3 of the Office action. Fifthly, applicants' response does not address how the components of claims 8 and 9 are to be reconciled in view of the language or components of claim 7, as set forth within paragraph 3 of the Office action. Lastly, applicants have not addressed the improper reference to two different claims within claim 19, as set forth within paragraph 3 of the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).**

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergeant at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

/Rabon Sergeant/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796